

# EXACT LOW-ORDER POLYNOMIAL EXPRESSIONS TO COMPUTE THE KOLMOGOROFF-NAGUMO MEAN IN THE AFFINE SYMPLECTIC GROUP OF OPTICAL TRANSFERENCE MATRICES

SIMONE FIORI<sup>\*‡</sup> AND STILIAN PRIFTI<sup>†</sup>

**Abstract.** The current contribution presents exact third-order polynomial expressions of matrix functions that arise in the computation of the Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean of a set of optical transference matrices, that belong to the affine symplectic group  $\text{ASp}(4)$ .

**Key words.** Affine symplectic group of matrices; Exact low-order polynomial representation; Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean; Linear optical transference.

**1. Introduction.** The group of real symplectic matrices  $\text{Sp}(2n)$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq 1$ , is an instance of quadratic matrix group [14]. Symplectic matrices find wide applications in sciences and engineering. Noteworthy examples of applications are found in vibration analysis [3], in optimal control [2, 15], in electromagnetism [16] and in computational optics [7].

The present contribution is motivated by an application in linear optics: When a ray of light passes through a lens (such as the lens in a telescope) the ray is refracted and the change in direction may be described by a symplectic matrix.

The recent contribution [8] deals with the computation of the Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean of a set of *centered* linear optical systems. Each of such systems is described by a  $4 \times 4$  real symplectic matrix, namely, by an element of the Lie group  $\text{Sp}(4)$ , termed *astigmatic* matrix. Given as set of  $N$  astigmatic matrices  $S_n \in \text{Sp}(4)$ , its Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean is denoted by:

$$\bar{S}_{\text{KN}}^\varphi := \varphi \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \varphi^{-1}(S_n) \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\varphi^{-1} : \text{Sp}(4) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(4)$ , with  $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$  denoting the Lie algebra associated with the Lie group  $\text{Sp}(4)$  formed by all the  $4 \times 4$  Hamiltonian matrices, and  $\varphi : \mathfrak{sp}(4) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(4)$  denotes its inverse. (In general, the function  $\varphi^{-1}$  is defined only locally in an open set  $U_\varphi \subset \text{Sp}(4)$ .) The rationale of the Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean is that while the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}(4)$  is a curved manifold, hence it is impossible to take an arithmetic mean over such a space directly, its Lie algebra is a linear space, where an arithmetic mean is well-defined. Therefore, the symplectic matrices  $S_n$  are first mapped to the Lie algebra through a suitable map  $\varphi^{-1}$  and the result of arithmetic averaging is brought back to the Lie group through its inverse  $\varphi$ . In fact, the actual calculations are taken in the Lie algebra. This is the approach usually adopted, for instance, in the numerical integration of ODEs on Lie groups (see, for instance, [4, 5]).

In the contribution [8], the following pairs of maps were considered:

- The map  $\varphi^{-1} = \log$ , namely, the matrix logarithm. Its inverse is  $\varphi = \exp$ , namely, the

---

<sup>\*</sup>Dipartimento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Via Brecce Bianche, I-60131 Ancona (Italy)

<sup>†</sup>School of Mechanical Engineering, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Via Brecce Bianche, I-60131 Ancona (Italy)

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author. eMail: s.fiori@univpm.it. Cite this paper as: **S. Fiori and S. Prifti**, “Exact low-order polynomial expressions to compute the Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean in the affine symplectic group of optical transference matrices”, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, Vol. 65, No. 4, pp. 840 — 856, 2017

matrix exponential. Such maps are defined as matrix-to-matrix series, namely:

$$\exp(X) := I + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{X^k}{k!}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\log(X) := - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(I - X)^k}{k}. \quad (1.3)$$

The series for the logarithmic map converges as long as  $\|X - I\| < 1$ . Given a symplectic matrix  $S \in \text{Sp}(4)$ , its logarithm  $\log(S)$  is defined by the matrix-power series (1.3) and is hence cumbersome to evaluate; likewise, given an Hamiltonian matrix  $H \in \mathfrak{sp}(4)$ , its exponential  $\exp(H)$  is cumbersome to compute by the series (1.2).

- The map  $\varphi^{-1} = \text{cay}$ , namely, the matrix Cayley transform, defined as

$$\text{cay}(X) := (I + X)(I - X)^{-1}, \quad (1.4)$$

well-defined if  $X - I \in \text{GL}(n) := \{Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \mid \det(Y) \neq 0\}$ . Since the Cayley transform is self-inverse, the inverse map is  $\varphi = \text{cay}$ .

In particular, the contribution [8] recalled how an analytic function  $\varphi$  of a  $4 \times 4$  real-valued matrix may be calculated *exactly* as a third-order polynomial, namely:

$$\varphi(H) = \varphi_3 H^3 + \varphi_2 H^2 + \varphi_1 H + \varphi_0 I, \quad (1.5)$$

$$\varphi^{-1}(S) = \varphi_3^{(-1)} S^3 + \varphi_2^{(-1)} S^2 + \varphi_1^{(-1)} S + \varphi_0^{(-1)} I, \quad (1.6)$$

and gave explicit formulas for the coefficients  $\varphi_0, \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3$  and  $\varphi_0^{(-1)}, \varphi_1^{(-1)}, \varphi_2^{(-1)}, \varphi_3^{(-1)}$  as functions of the eigenvalues of the matrices  $H$  and  $S$ , respectively, both for the exp/log maps-pair and for the cay/cay maps-pair. Since the eigenvalue structure of an Hamiltonian matrix and of a symplectic matrix presents several possible cases, several cases of interest were classified and solved for, separately.

The Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean may be calculated for every matrix Lie group and it coincides with the output of the first step, with a specific starting point (namely, the group identity), of a general averaging algorithm developed in [10]. In order to compare the Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean with the general algorithm presented in [10], it is also necessary to identify the pair  $(\varphi, \varphi^{-1})$  with a pseudo-retraction/pseudo-lifting maps pair. A further generalization on this line is that the pair of conjugate functions  $(\varphi, \varphi^{-1})$  may be replaced with a pair  $(\varphi, \psi)$ , such that the composition  $\varphi \circ \psi$  behaves as an *approximate identity* [9], with the aim of lightening the computational burden associated with the Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean. It is worth underlying that not every Kolmogoroff-Nagumo-like averaging rule is an instance of the the Lie-group averaging scheme (1.1). This is the case, for example, of the *resolvent average*  $S^{\text{res}} := \varphi \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \varphi^{-1}(S_n) / N \right)$  with  $\varphi(H) := H^{-1} - I$  introduced in [1]. Since  $\varphi$  does not map an  $\mathfrak{sp}(4)$ -matrix into an  $\text{Sp}(4)$ -matrix, such an averaging rule does not result to be an instance of the Lie-group-type Kolmogoroff-Nagumo averaging rule (1.1). The Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean as well as taking averages over the real symplectic group are special cases of a more general problem, namely, averaging over curved manifolds (see, for instance, the study [6]).

The above setting is of limited scope in the sense that it was developed for centered optical systems only. In the presence of *decentered* optical systems, the above setting is no longer suitable. In fact, a general optical system cannot be represented only in terms of an astigmatic matrix, but it is necessary to call for an augmented optical transference matrix of the form:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} S & \delta \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $S \in \text{Sp}(4)$  is the astigmatic submatrix and  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}^4$  represents a shift of the optical system with respect to its center. The resulting  $5 \times 5$  matrix belongs to the *affine symplectic group*  $\text{ASp}(4)$ , that is a Lie group under standard matrix multiplication/inverse, whose Lie algebra is denoted as  $\mathfrak{asp}(4)$ . For a review of the (affine) symplectic group, see, e.g., [11]. A generic element  $L$  of the algebra  $\mathfrak{asp}(4)$  presents the following structure:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} H & v \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1.8)$$

with  $H \in \mathfrak{sp}(4)$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^4$ . The exponential-logarithm-based Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean of a set of  $N$  optical system transference matrices  $T_n$  reads:

$$\bar{T}_{\text{KN}}^{\text{exp}} := \exp \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \log(T_n) \right), \quad (1.9)$$

while the Cayley-Cayley-based Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean of a set of  $N$  optical system transference matrices  $T_n$  reads

$$\bar{T}_{\text{KN}}^{\text{cay}} := -\text{cay} \left( \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \text{cay}(-T_n) \right). \quad (1.10)$$

The reason for the change of sign in the definition (1.10) is that, from the general structure of an optical transference matrix (1.7), it follows immediately that the matrix  $I - T$  is not invertible, while the matrix  $I + T$  is surely invertible.

The maps  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi^{-1}$  considered in the previous definition may be evaluated on the basis of exponential/logarithmic/Cayley maps applied to symplectic and Hamiltonian matrices. In fact, it holds that:

$$\exp(L) = \begin{bmatrix} \exp(H) & M_1(H)v \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \log(T) = \begin{bmatrix} \log(S) & M_2(S)\delta \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1.11)$$

$$\text{cay}(L) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{cay}(H) & M_3(H)v \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{cay}(-T) = \begin{bmatrix} \text{cay}(-S) & (\text{cay}(-S) + I)\delta/2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (1.12)$$

where the matrix functions  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  are defined as:

$$M_1 : \mathfrak{sp}(4) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(4), \quad M_1(H) := (\exp(H) - I)H^{-1}, \quad (1.13)$$

$$M_2 : \text{Sp}(4) \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(4), \quad M_2(S) := \log(S)(S - I)^{-1}, \quad (1.14)$$

$$M_3 : \mathfrak{sp}(4) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(4), \quad M_3(H) := (\text{cay}(H) - I)H^{-1} = 2H(I - H)^{-1}H^{-1}. \quad (1.15)$$

The function  $M_1$  is well-defined only if  $H \in \text{GL}(4)$ , the matrix function  $M_3$  is well-defined only if  $H \in \text{GL}(4)$  and  $H - I \in \text{GL}(4)$ , while the function  $M_2$  is well-defined only if  $S - I \in \text{GL}(4)$ . Since the matrix-functions  $H^{-1}$  and  $(I - H)^{-1}$  are analytic within the existence field of the function  $M_3$ , and since analytic matrix-functions of the same matrix-variable commute, it holds that  $2H(I - H)^{-1}H^{-1} = 2HH^{-1}(I - H)^{-1} = 2(I - H)^{-1}$ , hence:

$$M_3(H) = 2(I - H)^{-1}. \quad (1.16)$$

The functions  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  differ from the functions  $\exp$ ,  $\log$  and  $\text{cay}$ , hence, in the present work, we propose to compute them explicitly as third-order polynomials. For the evaluation of a term like  $\text{cay}(-T)$  there is no special function needed, because the evaluation of the quantity  $\text{cay}(-S)$  was already covered in the previous contribution [8].

**2. Third-order polynomials to compute  $4 \times 4$ -matrix functions.** The method presented in the current paper to compute a non-linear matrix function by means of a low-order polynomial is a special case of a general method based on the Lagrange generalized polynomials recalled, for example, in the Appendix A of the book [12].

An analytic function of a  $4 \times 4$  matrix may be evaluated through an exact third-order polynomial formula whose coefficients depend on the eigenvalues of the matrix. Let  $X$  denote a  $4 \times 4$  real-valued matrix. The characteristic polynomial associated with the matrix  $X$  is  $p_X(z) := \det(zI - X)$ , where  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  denotes a scalar complex-valued variable, which is a monic polynomial of degree 4:

$$p_X(z) = z^4 + p_3z^3 + p_2z^2z + p_1z + p_0, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $p_3, p_2, p_1, p_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  are the scalar coefficients of the characteristic polynomial (that coincide, up to a change of sign, with the principal invariants associated to the matrix  $X$  and may be computed through Newton's identities [17]) and the roots of the polynomial (2.1) are the eigenvalues of the matrix  $X$ . Namely, denoting with  $\lambda_X$  an eigenvalue of the matrix  $X$ , it holds that

$$p_X(\lambda_X) = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

The *Cayley-Hamilton theorem* states that each matrix satisfies its own characteristic equation. In the present context, the Cayley-Hamilton theorem casts as:

$$P_X(X) := X^4 + p_3X^3 + p_2X^2 + p_1X + p_0I = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

By definition, any analytic function may be expanded as a polynomial. Moreover, to any matrix-to-matrix function  $F$  may be associated a scalar-to-scalar function by replacing the matrix argument with a scalar argument. Hence, the matrix-to-matrix analytic function  $F(X)$  may be thought of as a polynomial  $f(z)$  in the variable  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . The polynomial  $f(z)$  may be written as:

$$f(z) = q(z)p_X(z) + r(z), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $q(z)$  is the quotient polynomial and  $r(z)$  is the remainder polynomial of degree (at most) 3, namely:

$$r(z) = f_3z^3 + f_2z^2 + f_1z + f_0, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $f_3, f_2, f_1, f_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  are the scalar coefficients of the polynomial  $r(z)$ . By setting  $z = \lambda_X$  in the equation (2.4), thanks to the identity (2.2), it is readily seen that:

$$f(\lambda_X) = r(\lambda_X). \quad (2.6)$$

The corresponding relationship in the matrix variable  $X$  reads:

$$F(X) = Q(X)P_X(X) + R(X). \quad (2.7)$$

Since, by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem (2.3), it holds that  $P_X(X) = 0$ , from the equation (2.7) it follows that  $F(X) = R(X)$ . Therefore, the matrix function  $F(X)$  may be evaluated, *exactly*, as a third-order matrix polynomial, namely, as:

$$F(X) = f_3X^3 + f_2X^2 + f_1X + f_0I, \quad (2.8)$$

where the scalar coefficients of the polynomial expression of the function  $F(X)$  are to be sought.

The key point in the computation of the coefficients  $f_3, f_2, f_1, f_0$  in the polynomial expression (2.8) is that they are also the coefficients of the polynomial expression of the associated scalar-to-scalar function  $f(z)$ . Therefore, one may exploit the identity (2.6) to calculate such coefficients.

For symmetry reasons, it is known that the eigenvalues of an Hamiltonian and of a symplectic  $4 \times 4$  matrix may appear with multiplicity 1, 2 or 4.

Given a matrix-to-matrix analytic function  $F$  (and its associated scalar counterpart  $f$ ), given the four eigenvalues of the matrix  $X$ , namely  $\lambda_X^a, \lambda_X^b, \lambda_X^c, \lambda_X^d$  and supposing that they are *all distinct*, the coefficients  $f_3, f_2, f_1, f_0$  are found by solving the following linear system:

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_X^a)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X^a)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X^a f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X^a), \\ (\lambda_X^b)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X^b)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X^b f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X^b), \\ (\lambda_X^c)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X^c)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X^c f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X^c), \\ (\lambda_X^d)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X^d)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X^d f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X^d). \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

In matrix format, the above linear system casts as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_X^a & (\lambda_X^a)^2 & (\lambda_X^a)^3 \\ 1 & \lambda_X^b & (\lambda_X^b)^2 & (\lambda_X^b)^3 \\ 1 & \lambda_X^c & (\lambda_X^c)^2 & (\lambda_X^c)^3 \\ 1 & \lambda_X^d & (\lambda_X^d)^2 & (\lambda_X^d)^3 \end{bmatrix}}_V \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda_X^a) \\ f(\lambda_X^b) \\ f(\lambda_X^c) \\ f(\lambda_X^d) \end{bmatrix}}_g. \quad (2.10)$$

The matrix  $V$  of the coefficients is square Vandermonde. The coefficients of the polynomial (2.8) are found by inverting the matrix  $V$  and then by computing  $V^{-1}g$ . The inversion of a square Vandermonde matrix is facilitated by its LU decomposition, that is given explicitly for the  $4 \times 4$  case, e.g., in the contribution [13]. The explicit solution of the above Vandermonde-type system is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_0 = \frac{f(\lambda_X^b) \lambda_X^a \lambda_X^c \lambda_X^d}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^b)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^c - \lambda_X^d)} - \frac{f(\lambda_X^a) \lambda_X^b \lambda_X^c \lambda_X^d}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^b)(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^d)} \\ \quad - \frac{f(\lambda_X^c) \lambda_X^a \lambda_X^b \lambda_X^d}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^c - \lambda_X^d)} + \frac{f(\lambda_X^d) \lambda_X^a \lambda_X^b \lambda_X^c}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^d)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^d)(\lambda_X^c - \lambda_X^d)} \\ f_1 = \frac{f(\lambda_X^a) (\lambda_X^b \lambda_X^c + \lambda_X^b \lambda_X^d + \lambda_X^c \lambda_X^d)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^b)(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^d)} - \frac{f(\lambda_X^b) (\lambda_X^a \lambda_X^c + \lambda_X^a \lambda_X^d + \lambda_X^c \lambda_X^d)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^b)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^d)} \\ \quad + \frac{f(\lambda_X^c) (\lambda_X^a \lambda_X^b + \lambda_X^a \lambda_X^d + \lambda_X^b \lambda_X^d)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^c - \lambda_X^d)} - \frac{f(\lambda_X^d) (\lambda_X^a \lambda_X^b + \lambda_X^a \lambda_X^c + \lambda_X^b \lambda_X^c)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^d)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^d)(\lambda_X^c - \lambda_X^d)}, \\ f_2 = \frac{f(\lambda_X^b) (\lambda_X^a + \lambda_X^c + \lambda_X^d)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^b)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^d)} - \frac{f(\lambda_X^a) (\lambda_X^b + \lambda_X^c + \lambda_X^d)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^b)(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^d)} \\ \quad - \frac{f(\lambda_X^c) (\lambda_X^a + \lambda_X^b + \lambda_X^d)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^c - \lambda_X^d)} + \frac{f(\lambda_X^d) (\lambda_X^a + \lambda_X^b + \lambda_X^c)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^d)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^d)(\lambda_X^c - \lambda_X^d)}, \\ f_3 = \frac{f(\lambda_X^a)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^b)(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^d)} - \frac{f(\lambda_X^b)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^b)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^d)} \\ \quad + \frac{f(\lambda_X^c)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^c)(\lambda_X^c - \lambda_X^d)} - \frac{f(\lambda_X^d)}{(\lambda_X^a - \lambda_X^d)(\lambda_X^b - \lambda_X^d)(\lambda_X^c - \lambda_X^d)}. \end{array} \right. \quad (2.11)$$

Whenever the eigenvalues of the matrix  $X$  are not distinct, repeating the equations (2.5) and (2.6) leads to an unsolvable (under-determined) linear system, of little use. In this case, it is necessary to evaluate the polynomial expression of the derivatives of the function  $f$ . For example, starting over from equation (2.4) and computing the analytic derivative with respect to the variable  $z$  of both sides, gives:

$$f'(z) = q'(z)p_X(z) + q(z)p'_X(z) + r'(z). \quad (2.12)$$

Upon evaluating such expression in an eigenvalue  $\lambda_X$ , supposed of algebraic multiplicity equal to 2, the first term on the right-hand side vanishes because  $p_X(\lambda_X) = 0$  and the second term on the

right-hand side vanishes because  $p'_X(\lambda_X) = 0$  as well. Therefore, for an eigenvalue of multiplicity 2, it holds that

$$f'(\lambda_X) = r'(\lambda_X) = 3(\lambda_X)^2 f_3 + 2\lambda_X f_2 + f_1. \quad (2.13)$$

Hence, for a matrix  $X$  with two distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_X^a, \lambda_X^b$ , both of multiplicity 2, the resolving linear system reads:

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_X^a)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X^a)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X^a f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X^a), \\ 3(\lambda_X^a)^2 f_3 + 2\lambda_X^a f_2 + f_1 = f'(\lambda_X^a), \\ (\lambda_X^b)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X^b)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X^b f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X^b), \\ 3(\lambda_X^b)^2 f_3 + 2\lambda_X^b f_2 + f_1 = f'(\lambda_X^b). \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

In matrix format, the above linear system casts as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_X^a & (\lambda_X^a)^2 & (\lambda_X^a)^3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2\lambda_X^a & 3(\lambda_X^a)^2 \\ 1 & \lambda_X^b & (\lambda_X^b)^2 & (\lambda_X^b)^3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2\lambda_X^b & 3(\lambda_X^b)^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda_X^a) \\ f'(\lambda_X^a) \\ f(\lambda_X^b) \\ f'(\lambda_X^b) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.15)$$

In the mixed case that there are two distinct eigenvalues  $\lambda_X^a$  and  $\lambda_X^b$  with multiplicity 1 and an eigenvalue  $\lambda_X^c$  with multiplicity 2, the resolving linear system reads:

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_X^a)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X^a)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X^a f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X^a), \\ (\lambda_X^b)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X^b)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X^b f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X^b), \\ (\lambda_X^c)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X^c)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X^c f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X^c), \\ 3(\lambda_X^c)^2 f_3 + 2\lambda_X^c f_2 + f_1 = f'(\lambda_X^c). \end{cases} \quad (2.16)$$

In matrix format, the above linear system casts as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda_X^a & (\lambda_X^a)^2 & (\lambda_X^a)^3 \\ 1 & \lambda_X^b & (\lambda_X^b)^2 & (\lambda_X^b)^3 \\ 1 & \lambda_X^c & (\lambda_X^c)^2 & (\lambda_X^c)^3 \\ 0 & 1 & 2\lambda_X^c & 3(\lambda_X^c)^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} f(\lambda_X^a) \\ f(\lambda_X^b) \\ f(\lambda_X^c) \\ f'(\lambda_X^c) \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.17)$$

Likewise, for an eigenvalue  $\lambda_X$  of algebraic multiplicity 4, it holds that  $f''(\lambda_X) = r''(\lambda_X)$ , namely:

$$f''(\lambda_X) = 6\lambda_X f_3 + 2f_2, \quad (2.18)$$

and that  $f'''(\lambda_X) = r'''(\lambda_X)$ , namely:

$$f'''(\lambda_X) = 6f_3. \quad (2.19)$$

Hence, for a matrix  $X$  with an eigenvalues  $\lambda_X$  of multiplicity 4, the resolving linear system reads:

$$\begin{cases} (\lambda_X)^3 f_3 + (\lambda_X)^2 f_2 + \lambda_X f_1 + f_0 = f(\lambda_X), \\ 3(\lambda_X)^2 f_3 + 2\lambda_X f_2 + f_1 = f'(\lambda_X), \\ 6\lambda_X f_3 + 2f_2 = f''(\lambda_X), \\ 6f_3 = f'''(\lambda_X), \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

whose explicit solution is:

$$\begin{cases} f_0 = f(\lambda_X) - \lambda_X f'(\lambda_X) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_X^2 f''(\lambda_X) - \frac{1}{6} \lambda_X^3 f'''(\lambda_X), \\ f_1 = f'(\lambda_X) - \lambda_X f''(\lambda_X) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_X^2 f'''(\lambda_X), \\ f_2 = \frac{1}{2} f''(\lambda_X) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_X f'''(\lambda_X), \\ f_3 = \frac{1}{6} f'''(\lambda_X). \end{cases} \quad (2.21)$$

The polynomial expressions to compute the special functions  $M_1(H)$ ,  $M_2(S)$  and  $M_3(H)$ , along with the associated scalar generating functions to compute the coefficients of the polynomials, are:

$$M_1(H) = a_3 H^3 + a_2 H^2 + a_1 H + a_0 I, \quad m_1(z) := \frac{\exp(z) - 1}{z}, \quad (2.22)$$

$$M_2(S) = b_3 S^3 + b_2 S^2 + b_1 S + b_0 I, \quad m_2(z) := \frac{\log(z)}{z - 1}, \quad (2.23)$$

$$M_3(H) = c_3 H^3 + c_2 H^2 + c_1 H + c_0 I, \quad m_3(z) := \frac{2}{1 - z}. \quad (2.24)$$

While the functions  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  may be prolonged by continuity in their singularity, in fact:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{\exp(z) - 1}{z} = 1, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \frac{\log(z)}{z - 1} = 1,$$

the function  $m_3$  has an essential singularity in  $z = 1$ .

The computation of the three sets of four coefficients is carried out and discussed in the following Sections for some cases of interest. The Appendix A provides some details about the MATLAB<sup>©</sup> implementation of the evaluation of the polynomial expressions for the three matrix functions  $M_1(H)$ ,  $M_2(S)$  and  $M_3(H)$  as well as for the functions  $\log(T)$ ,  $\exp(L)$  and  $\text{cay}(L)$ .

**3. Computation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression of the functions  $M_1(H)$  and  $M_3(H)$ .** The matrix functions  $M_1$  and  $M_3$ , as defined in (1.13) and (1.14), apply to a  $4 \times 4$  Hamiltonian matrix. In the Section 2, it was pointed out that the coefficients of the polynomial expression of the quantities  $M_1(H)$  and of  $M_3(H)$  depend on the eigenvalues of the matrix  $H$  in argument. The four eigenvalues of a  $4 \times 4$  Hamiltonian matrix come in complex-valued antipodal pairs, namely  $\lambda^a, -\lambda^a, \lambda^b, -\lambda^b \in \mathbb{C}$  and are computed by the expression [8]:

$$\pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\text{tr}(H^2) \pm \sqrt{\text{tr}^2(H^2) - 16 \det(H)}}. \quad (3.1)$$

In order to determine the coefficients of the polynomial expressions, it is necessary to distinguish between the cases that the complex-valued numbers  $\lambda^a, \lambda^b$  are distinct or coincident. In the following sections, we cover three cases, namely:

- Four distinct eigenvalues of algebraic multiplicity 1;
- Two distinct eigenvalues, case  $(\lambda_H, -\lambda_H, \lambda_H, -\lambda_H)$ , with  $\lambda_H \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ ;
- Three distinct eigenvalues, case  $(\lambda_H, -\lambda_H, 0, 0)$ , with  $\lambda_H \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ .

**3.1. Coefficients of the polynomial expression of the matrix function  $M_1(H)$ .** The presents subsection covers the computation of the coefficients  $a_3, a_2, a_1$  and  $a_0$  pertaining to the

polynomial expression of the function  $M_1(H)$  (2.22). It pays to recall the analytic derivatives of the associated scalar function  $m_1(z)$ , namely:

$$m_1'(z) = \frac{e^z}{z} - \frac{e^z - 1}{z^2}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$m_1''(z) = \frac{2e^z + z^2 e^z - 2ze^z - 2}{z^3}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$m_1'''(z) = \frac{z^3 e^z - 3z^2 e^z - 6e^z + 6ze^z + 6}{z^4}. \quad (3.4)$$

**3.1.1. Case I: Distinct eigenvalues of algebraic multiplicity 1.** The four distinct eigenvalues are denote by  $\lambda_H^a, -\lambda_H^a, \lambda_H^b, -\lambda_H^b \in \mathbb{C}$ . In order for such eigenvalues to be distinct from each other, it must hold that  $\lambda_H^a \neq 0$ ,  $\lambda_H^b \neq 0$  and  $(\lambda_H^a)^2 \neq (\lambda_H^b)^2$ . In the current case, the resolving system is square Vandermonde and the explicit solutions (2.11) may be used to compute the coefficients  $a_3, a_2, a_1$  and  $a_0$ . The explicit solution for the coefficients reads:

$$\begin{cases} a_0 = \frac{(\lambda_H^a)^3 \sinh(\lambda_H^b) - (\lambda_H^b)^3 \sinh(\lambda_H^a)}{\lambda_H^a \lambda_H^b ((\lambda_H^a)^2 - (\lambda_H^b)^2)}, \\ a_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda_H^a}{\lambda_H^b}\right)^2 \frac{\cosh(\lambda_H^b) - 1}{(\lambda_H^a)^2 - (\lambda_H^b)^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda_H^b}{\lambda_H^a}\right)^2 \frac{\cosh(\lambda_H^a) - 1}{(\lambda_H^a)^2 - (\lambda_H^b)^2}, \\ a_2 = -\frac{\lambda_H^a \sinh(\lambda_H^b) - \lambda_H^b \sinh(\lambda_H^a)}{\lambda_H^a \lambda_H^b ((\lambda_H^a)^2 - (\lambda_H^b)^2)}, \\ a_3 = \frac{\cosh(\lambda_H^a) - 1}{(\lambda_H^a)^2 ((\lambda_H^a)^2 - (\lambda_H^b)^2)} - \frac{\cosh(\lambda_H^b) - 1}{(\lambda_H^b)^2 ((\lambda_H^a)^2 - (\lambda_H^b)^2)}. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

**3.1.2. Case II: Two distinct eigenvalues, case  $(\lambda_H, -\lambda_H, \lambda_H, -\lambda_H)$ , with  $\lambda_H \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ .** The explicit solution for the coefficients of the third-order polynomial reads:

$$\begin{cases} a_0 = \frac{3}{2} \frac{\sinh(\lambda_H)}{\lambda_H} - \frac{1}{2} \cosh(\lambda_H), \\ a_1 = \frac{2(\cosh(\lambda_H) - 1)}{\lambda_H^2} - \frac{\sinh(\lambda_H)}{2\lambda_H}, \\ a_2 = \frac{\cosh(\lambda_H)}{2\lambda_H^2} - \frac{\sinh(\lambda_H)}{2\lambda_H^3}, \\ a_3 = \frac{1 - \cosh(\lambda_H)}{\lambda_H^4} + \frac{\sinh(\lambda_H)}{2\lambda_H^3}. \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

**3.1.3. Case III: Three distinct eigenvalues, case  $(\lambda_H, -\lambda_H, 0, 0)$ , with  $\lambda_H \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ .** Whenever the matrix  $H$  possesses the eigenvalue structure  $(\lambda_H, -\lambda_H, 0, 0)$ , with  $\lambda_H \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ , the matrix function  $M_1(H)$  is not well-defined (in fact, the function  $m_1'(z)$  cannot be evaluated in  $z = 0$ ).

**3.2. Coefficients of the polynomial expression of the matrix function  $M_3(H)$ .** The presents subsection covers the computation of the coefficients  $c_3, c_2, c_1$  and  $c_0$  pertaining to the polynomial expression of the function  $M_3(H)$  (2.24). In order to complete the necessary calculations, it pays to recall the expressions of the derivatives of the auxiliary function  $m_3(z)$ , namely:

$$m_3'(z) = \frac{2}{(z-1)^2}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$m_3''(z) = -\frac{4}{(z-1)^3}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$m_3'''(z) = \frac{12}{(z-1)^4}. \quad (3.9)$$



In the present case, the functions  $m_3, m'_3, m''_3, m'''_3$  are rational, therefore, according to the expressions (2.11), the coefficients  $c_3, c_2, c_1$  and  $c_0$  are rational functions of the eigenvalues.

**3.2.1. Case I: Distinct eigenvalues of algebraic multiplicity 1.** The four distinct eigenvalues are denoted again by  $\lambda_H^a, -\lambda_H^a, \lambda_H^b, -\lambda_H^b \in \mathbb{C}$ . In order for the eigenvalues to be distinct from each other, it must hold that  $\lambda_H^a \neq 1, \lambda_H^b \neq 1$  and  $(\lambda_H^a)^2 \neq (\lambda_H^b)^2$ . In this case, the explicit solutions (2.11) may be used to compute the coefficients  $c_3, c_2, c_1$  and  $c_0$ . The coefficients of the third-order polynomial read:

$$\begin{cases} c_0 = c_1 = \frac{2(\lambda_H^b)^2}{((\lambda_H^a)^2-1)((\lambda_H^a)^2-(\lambda_H^b)^2)} - \frac{2(\lambda_H^a)^2}{((\lambda_H^b)^2-1)((\lambda_H^a)^2-(\lambda_H^b)^2)}, \\ c_2 = c_3 = \frac{2}{((\lambda_H^b)^2-1)((\lambda_H^a)^2-(\lambda_H^b)^2)} - \frac{2}{((\lambda_H^a)^2-1)((\lambda_H^a)^2-(\lambda_H^b)^2)}. \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

In the present case, the polynomial expression of the matrix function  $M_3(H)$  simplifies in:

$$M_3(H) = (c_2 H^2 + c_0 I)(H + I),$$

because the coefficients  $c_3, c_2, c_1, c_0$  result to be pairwise identical.

**3.2.2. Case II: Two distinct eigenvalues, case  $(\lambda_H, -\lambda_H, \lambda_H, -\lambda_H)$ , with  $\lambda_H \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ .** In order for the eigenvalues to result of multiplicity 2, it must hold that  $\lambda_H \neq \pm 1$ . The explicit solution for the coefficients of the third-order polynomial was computed as:

$$\begin{cases} c_0 = -\frac{\lambda_H+2}{2(\lambda_H+1)^2} - \frac{3\lambda_H-2}{2(\lambda_H-1)^2}, \\ c_1 = \frac{2\lambda_H+3}{2\lambda_H(\lambda_H+1)^2} - \frac{4\lambda_H-3}{2\lambda_H(\lambda_H-1)^2}, \\ c_2 = \frac{1}{2\lambda_H(\lambda_H-1)^2} - \frac{1}{2\lambda_H(\lambda_H+1)^2}, \\ c_3 = \frac{2\lambda_H-1}{2\lambda_H^3(\lambda_H-1)^2} - \frac{1}{2\lambda_H^3(\lambda_H+1)^2}. \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

**3.2.3. Case III: Three distinct eigenvalues, case  $(\lambda_H, -\lambda_H, 0, 0)$ , with  $\lambda_H \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ .** It must hold that  $\lambda_H \neq \pm 1$ . The explicit solution for the coefficients of the third-order polynomial was found to be:

$$\begin{cases} c_0 = c_1 = 2, \\ c_2 = -\frac{2(\lambda_H^2+\lambda_H-1)}{\lambda_H^2(\lambda_H^2-1)}, \\ c_3 = -\frac{2(\lambda_H^3-\lambda_H+1)}{\lambda_H^3(\lambda_H^2-1)}. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

It is worth underlying that the corresponding case, whenever using the function  $M_1$ , is undefined. Therefore, the Cayley transform based averaging can be applied to a wider variety of cases.

**4. Computation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression of the function  $M_2(S)$ .** Given a  $4 \times 4$  real-valued symplectic matrix  $S$ , the coefficients of its characteristic polynomial  $p_S(z)$  (2.1) may be computed through the Newton's identities:

$$\begin{cases} p_3 = p_1 = -\text{tr}(S), \\ p_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}^2(S) - \text{tr}(S^2)), \\ p_0 = 1, \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where the noticeable property  $\det(S) = 1$  was used in the expression of  $p_0$ . Hence, the eigenvalues of a  $4 \times 4$  symplectic matrix are given by the formula:

$$\frac{\zeta \pm \sqrt{\zeta^2 - 4}}{2}, \text{ where } \zeta = \frac{-p_1 \pm \sqrt{p_1^2 - 4p_2 + 8}}{2}. \quad (4.2)$$

A consequence of the above formulas is that if  $\lambda$  denotes an eigenvalue of a symplectic matrix, then  $\bar{\lambda}$  and  $\lambda^{-1}$  must be eigenvalues as well (the over-bar denotes complex conjugation).

When all eigenvalues are of multiplicity 1, the following cases may occur:

1. Case of complex-valued quartet: There are four distinct complex-valued roots  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda^{-1}$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}^{-1}$ .
2. Case of a real-valued duet and a unimodular duet: There are two distinct real-valued roots  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda_1^{-1}$ , and two distinct complex-valued, unimodular roots  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_2$ , with  $|\lambda_2| = 1$  (the symbol  $|\cdot|$  denotes the modulus of a complex-valued number).
3. Case of two real-valued duets: There are four distinct real-valued roots  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda_1^{-1}$ ,  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\lambda_2^{-1}$ .
4. Case of two unimodular duets: There are four distinct complex-valued roots  $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_1$ , with  $|\lambda_1| = 1$ , and  $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_2$ , with  $|\lambda_2| = 1$ .

The eigenvalues may come with multiplicity 2 and with multiplicity 4 as well. There are six possible cases that cover the occurrence of eigenvalues with multiplicity 2:

1. Case of eigenvalues  $(x, x^{-1}, x, x^{-1})$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, \pm 1\}$ .
2. Case of eigenvalues  $(x, x^{-1}, 1, 1)$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, \pm 1\}$ .
3. Case of eigenvalues  $(x, x^{-1}, -1, -1)$  with  $x \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, \pm 1\}$ .
4. Case of eigenvalues  $(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}, 1, 1)$  with  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} - \pi\mathbb{Z}$  (where the symbol  $i$  denotes the imaginary unit, namely,  $i^2 = -1$ ).
5. Case of eigenvalues  $(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}, -1, -1)$  with  $\theta \in \mathbb{R} - \pi\mathbb{Z}$ .
6. Case of eigenvalues  $(1, 1, -1, -1)$ .

There are two possible cases that cover the occurrence of eigenvalues with multiplicity 4:

1. Case of eigenvalues  $(1, 1, 1, 1)$ .
2. Case of eigenvalues  $(-1, -1, -1, -1)$ .

The presents Section covers the computation of the coefficients  $b_3$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_1$  and  $b_0$  pertaining to the polynomial expression of the function  $M_2(S)$  (2.23). For the convenience of the reader, the expressions of the derivatives of the function  $m_2(z)$ , are given as follows:

$$m_2'(z) = -\frac{z(\log(z) - 1) + 1}{z(z-1)^2}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$m_2''(z) = \frac{4z + z^2(2\log(z) - 3) - 1}{z^2(z-1)^3}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$m_2'''(z) = -\frac{18z^2 - 9z + z^3(6\log(z) - 11) + 2}{z^3(z-1)^4}. \quad (4.5)$$

In particular, the following cases are given full consideration:

- Case of complex-valued quartet  $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}, \lambda^{-1}, \bar{\lambda}^{-1}$ ;
- Case of real-valued quartet  $(x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1})$  with  $x, y \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, \pm 1\}$ ;
- Case of purely imaginary quartet  $(e^{i\theta}, e^{-i\theta}, e^{i\psi}, e^{-i\psi})$  with  $\theta, \psi \in (0, 2\pi)$ ,  $\theta \neq \psi$ ,  $\theta + \psi \neq 2\pi$ .

**4.1. Case I: Distinct eigenvalues of algebraic multiplicity 1, complex-valued quartet.** The four distinct eigenvalues of a symplectic matrix  $S$  are denoted as  $\lambda_S, \bar{\lambda}_S, \lambda_S^{-1}, \bar{\lambda}_S^{-1}$ . The

explicit solutions (2.11) may be used to compute the coefficients  $b_3$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_1$  and  $b_0$ . The explicit solution for the coefficients of the third-order polynomial was computed as:

$$\begin{cases} b_0 = \Im \left\{ \frac{\log(\lambda_S) \bar{\lambda}_S (\lambda_S^5 - 1)}{(\lambda_S - 1)^2 (\lambda_S + 1) \Im \{ \lambda_S (\lambda_S^2 + 1) \}} \right\}, \\ b_1 = \Im \left\{ \frac{\log(\lambda_S) ((1 - \lambda_S^5) (\bar{\lambda}_S^2 + 1) - |\lambda_S|^2 (\lambda_S^3 - 1))}{(\lambda_S - 1)^2 (\lambda_S + 1) \Im \{ \lambda_S (\lambda_S^2 + 1) \}} \right\}, \\ b_2 = \Im \left\{ \frac{\log(\bar{\lambda}_S) (\lambda_S (1 - \bar{\lambda}_S^5) + \bar{\lambda}_S (1 - \bar{\lambda}_S^3) (\lambda_S^2 + 1))}{(\lambda_S - 1)^2 (\lambda_S + 1) \Im \{ \lambda_S (\lambda_S^2 + 1) \}} \right\}, \\ b_3 = \Im \left\{ \frac{|\lambda_S|^2 \log(\lambda_S) (1 - \lambda_S^3)}{(\lambda_S - 1)^2 (\lambda_S + 1) \Im \{ \lambda_S (\lambda_S^2 + 1) \}} \right\}, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

where the symbol  $\Im\{\cdot\}$  denotes the imaginary part of a complex number.

**4.2. Case II: Distinct eigenvalues of algebraic multiplicity 1, purely imaginary duets** ( $e^{i\theta}$ ,  $e^{-i\theta}$ ,  $e^{i\psi}$ ,  $e^{-i\psi}$ ) **with**  $\theta, \psi \in (0, 2\pi)$ ,  $\theta \neq \psi$ ,  $\theta + \psi \neq 2\pi$ . With the considered configuration of the four eigenvalues, the explicit solution for the coefficients of the third-order polynomial becomes:

$$\begin{cases} b_0 = \frac{\psi \sin(\frac{5\psi}{2})}{2 \sin(\frac{\psi}{2}) (\sin(2\psi) - 2 \cos(\theta) \sin(\psi))} + \frac{\theta \sin(\frac{5\theta}{2})}{2 \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) (\sin(2\theta) - 2 \cos(\psi) \sin(\theta))}, \\ b_1 = -\frac{\theta (\sin(\frac{3\theta}{2}) + 2 \sin(\frac{5\theta}{2}) \cos(\psi))}{2 \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) (\sin(2\theta) - 2 \cos(\psi) \sin(\theta))} - \frac{\psi (\sin(\frac{3\psi}{2}) + 2 \sin(\frac{5\psi}{2}) \cos(\theta))}{2 \sin(\frac{\psi}{2}) (\sin(2\psi) - 2 \cos(\theta) \sin(\psi))}, \\ b_2 = -\frac{\theta (\sin(\frac{5\theta}{2}) + 2 \sin(\frac{3\theta}{2}) \cos(\psi))}{2 \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) (\sin(2\theta) - 2 \cos(\psi) \sin(\theta))} - \frac{\psi (\sin(\frac{5\psi}{2}) + 2 \sin(\frac{3\psi}{2}) \cos(\theta))}{2 \sin(\frac{\psi}{2}) (\sin(2\psi) - 2 \cos(\theta) \sin(\psi))}, \\ b_3 = -\frac{\psi \sin(\frac{3\psi}{2})}{2 \sin(\frac{\psi}{2}) (\sin(2\psi) - 2 \cos(\theta) \sin(\psi))} - \frac{\theta \sin(\frac{3\theta}{2})}{2 \sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) (\sin(2\theta) - 2 \cos(\psi) \sin(\theta))}. \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

Note that the condition  $\theta, \psi \in (0, 2\pi)$  ensures that  $\sin(\frac{\theta}{2}) \neq 0$  and  $\sin(\frac{\psi}{2}) \neq 0$ , and that the conditions  $\theta \neq \psi$  and  $\theta + \psi \neq 2\pi$  ensure that  $\sin(2\theta) - 2 \cos(\psi) \sin(\theta) \neq 0$  and  $\sin(2\psi) - 2 \cos(\theta) \sin(\psi) \neq 0$ .

**4.3. Case III: Distinct eigenvalues of algebraic multiplicity 1, purely real-valued duets** ( $x, x^{-1}, y, y^{-1}$ ) **with**  $x, y \in \mathbb{R} - \{0, \pm 1\}$ ,  $x \neq y$ ,  $x \neq y^{-1}$ . With the considered configuration of the four eigenvalues, the explicit solution for the coefficients of the third-order polynomial becomes:

$$\begin{cases} b_0 = -\frac{y \log(x)(x^4 + 1)}{y(x^4 - 1) + (x - x^3)(y^2 + 1)} - \frac{x \log(y)(y^4 + 1)}{x(y^4 - 1) + (y - y^3)(x^2 + 1)}, \\ b_1 = \frac{\log(x)(y^2 - 1)(x^3(xy^2 + y + x) + xy + y^2 + 1)}{D} - \frac{\log(y)(x^2 - 1)(y^3(yx^2 + x + y) + xy + x^2 + 1)}{D}, \\ b_2 = -\frac{\log(x)(y(x^4 + 1) + (y^2 + 1)(x^3 + x))}{y(x^4 - 1) + (x - x^3)(y^2 + 1)} - \frac{\log(y)(x(y^4 + 1) + (x^2 + 1)(y^3 + y))}{x(y^4 - 1) + (y - y^3)(x^2 + 1)}, \\ b_3 = \frac{xy \log(x)(x^2 + 1)(y^2 - 1)}{D} - \frac{xy \log(y)(x^2 - 1)(y^2 + 1)}{D}, \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

with  $D := (1 - x^2)(y^2 - 1)(x(y^2 + 1) - y(x^2 + 1))$ .

**5. Conclusions.** The present contribution completes the calculations carried out in the previous paper [8]. Specifically, the present paper covered the calculations necessary to compute some special matrix functions arising in the evaluation of the Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean of a set of full  $5 \times 5$  optical transference matrices.

An alternative method to evaluate such special matrix functions, with special reference to the function  $M_2(S)$ , to be explored in the future, concerns a type of singular-value decomposition for symplectic matrices explained in [18].

The Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean is of interest in a number of applications involving different kinds of matrix groups, such as the space of special Euclidean matrices [6]. It will be interesting to apply the powerful method for matrix function computation based on generalized Lagrange polynomials [12] in future research efforts.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H.H. Bauschke, S.M. Moffat and X. Wang, “The resolvent average for positive semidefinite matrices”, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 432, No. 7, pp. 1757 – 1771, 2010
- [2] M. Chu, N. Del Buono, F. Diele, T. Politi and S. Ragni, “On the semigroup of standard symplectic matrices and its applications”, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 389, pp. 215 – 225, September 2004
- [3] E.K.-W. Chua, T.-M. Hwang, W.-W. Lin and C.-T. Wu, “Vibration of fast trains, palindromic eigenvalue problems and structure-preserving doubling algorithms”, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 219, No. 237 – 252, 2008
- [4] N. Del Buono, L. Lopez and T. Politi, “Computation of functions of Hamiltonian and skew-symmetric matrices”, *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation*, Vol. 79, No. 4, pp. 1284 – 1297, December 2008
- [5] F. Diele, L. Lopez and T. Politi, “One step semi-explicit methods based on the Cayley transform for solving isospectral flows”, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 89, No. 2, pp. 219 – 223, March 1998
- [6] X. Duan, H. Sun and L. Peng, “Riemannian means on special Euclidean group and unipotent matrices group”, *Scientific World Journal*, 2013: 292787, October 2013 (doi:10.1155/2013/292787)
- [7] S. Fiori, “Averaging over the Lie group of optical systems transference matrices”, *Frontiers of Electrical and Electronic Engineering in China*, Vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 137 – 145, March 2011
- [8] S. Fiori, “Kolmogoroff-Nagumo mean over the affine symplectic group of matrices”, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 266, pp. 820 – 837, September 2015
- [9] S. Fiori, T. Kaneko and T. Tanaka, “Mixed maps for learning a Kolmogoroff-Nagumo-type average element on the compact Stiefel manifold”, in *Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP 2014, Florence (Italy), May 4-9, 2014)*, pp. 4518 – 4522, 2014
- [10] S. Fiori and T. Tanaka, “An algorithm to compute averages on matrix Lie groups”, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, Vol. 57, No. 12, pp. 4734 – 4743, December 2009
- [11] M.A. de Gosson, *Symplectic Methods in Harmonic Analysis and in Mathematical Physics*, Chapter 2 (“The Symplectic Group”), Pseudo-Differential Operators 7, Springer Basel AG, 2011
- [12] V. Lakshmikantham and D. Trigiante, *Theory of Difference Equations – Numerical Methods and Applications*, Second Edition, CRC Press – Taylor & Francis group (ISBN: 9780824708030), 2002
- [13] H. Oruç and G.M. Phillips, “Explicit factorization of the Vandermonde matrix”, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 315, pp. 113 – 123, 2000
- [14] L. Lopez, C. Mastroserio and T. Politi, “Newton-type methods for solving nonlinear equations on quadratic matrix groups”, *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 115, No.s 1-2, pp. 357 – 368, March 2000
- [15] C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann, A.C.M. Ran and L. Rodman, “Perturbation analysis of Lagrangian invariant subspaces of symplectic matrices”, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, Vol. 57, No. 2, pp. 141 – 184, 2009
- [16] W. Sha, X.L. Wu, Z.X. Huang and M.S. Chen, “Maxwell’s equation, symplectic matrix and grid”, *Progress in Electromagnetics Research B*, Vol. 8, pp. 115 – 127, 2008
- [17] A.J.M. Spencer, *Continuum Mechanics*, Longman Mathematical Texts Series, Addison-Wesley Longman, 1980
- [18] H. Xu, “An SVD-like matrix decomposition and its applications”, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Vol. 368, pp. 1 – 24, July 2003

**Appendix A. MATLAB implementation.** In the present Appendix, we show the MATLAB<sup>®</sup> implementation of three cases of interest. Specifically, the Section A.1 details the functions that afford the evaluation of the functions  $M_1(H)$ ,  $M_2(S)$  and  $M_3(H)$  in the hypothesis that *all the eigenvalues of the matrix in argument are distinct*. The Section A.2 suggests optimized codes to evaluate the functions  $\log(T)$ ,  $\exp(L)$  and  $\text{cay}(L)$ .

**A.1. Evaluation of the matrix-functions  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$ .** The MATLAB<sup>®</sup> function that implements the numerical evaluation of the matrix-function  $M_1(H)$  is shown below.

```

% Evaluation of the function M_1(H) corresponding to the case that all the
% eigenvalues of the matrix H are distinct
function P = FunctionM1(H)
% Calculation of lambda_a (z1) and lambda_b (z2)
z1 = sqrt( trace(H^2) + sqrt( trace(H^2)^2 - 16*det(H) ) )/2;
z2 = sqrt( trace(H^2) - sqrt( trace(H^2)^2 - 16*det(H) ) )/2;
% Calculation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression
a0 = (sinh(z2)*z1^3 - sinh(z1)*z2^3)/(z1*z2*(z1^2-z2^2));
a1 = z2^2*(cosh(z1)-1)/(z1^2*(z2^2-z1^2)) - z1^2*(cosh(z2)-1)/(z2^2*(z2^2-z1^2));
a2 = (z2*sinh(z1) - z1*sinh(z2))/(z1*z2*(z1^2-z2^2));
a3 = (cosh(z1)-1)/(z1^2*(z1^2-z2^2)) - (cosh(z2)-1)/(z2^2*(z1^2-z2^2));
% Calculation of the polynomial
P = a3*H^3 + a2*H^2 + a1*H + a0*eye(4);

```

The MATLAB<sup>®</sup> function that implements the numerical evaluation of the matrix-function  $M_2(S)$  is shown below.

```

% Evaluation of the function M_2(S) corresponding to the case that all the
% eigenvalues of the matrix S are distinct of the type (lambda, 1/lambda,
% lambda*, 1/lambda*)
function P = FunctionM2(S)
% Calculation of lambda (L)
p2 = ( trace(S)^2 - trace(S^2) )/2;
p1 = -trace(S);
x = ( -p1 + sqrt( p1^2 - 4*p2 + 8) )/2;
z = ( x + sqrt( x^2 - 4 ) )/2;
% Calculation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression
zc = conj(z);
b0 = imag(log(z)*zc*(z^5-1)/((z-1)^2*(z+1)*imag(zc*(z^2+1))));
b1 = imag(log(z)*((1-z^5)*(zc^2+1)-z*zc*(z^3-1))/((z-1)^2*(z+1)*imag(zc*(z^2+1))));
b2 = imag(log(zc)*(z*(1-zc^5)+zc*(1-zc^3)*(z^2+1))/((zc-1)^2*(zc+1)*imag(zc*(z^2+1))));
b3 = imag(z*zc*log(z)*(1-z^3)/((z-1)^2*(z+1)*imag(zc*(z^2+1))));
% Calculation of the polynomial
P = b3*S^3 + b2*S^2 + b1*S + b0*eye(4);

```

The MATLAB<sup>®</sup> function that implements the numerical evaluation of the matrix-function  $M_3(H)$  is shown below.

```

% Evaluation of the function M_3(H) corresponding to the case that all the
% eigenvalues of the matrix H are distinct
function P = FunctionM3(H)
% Calculation of lambda_a (z1) and lambda_b (z2)
z1 = sqrt( trace(H^2) + sqrt( trace(H^2)^2 - 16*det(H) ) )/2;
z2 = sqrt( trace(H^2) - sqrt( trace(H^2)^2 - 16*det(H) ) )/2;
% Calculation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression
c0 = (2*z2^2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z1^2-1)) - 2*z1^2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z2^2-1)));
c1 = (2*z2^2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z1^2-1)) - 2*z1^2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z2^2-1)));
c2 = (2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z2^2-1)) - 2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z1^2-1)));
c3 = (2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z2^2-1)) - 2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z1^2-1)));
% Calculation of the polynomial
P = c3*H^3 + c2*H^2 + c1*H + c0*eye(4);

```

**A.2. Evaluation of the complete functions  $\exp(L)$ ,  $\text{cay}(L)$ ,  $\log(T)$ .** The numerical evaluation of the quantities  $\exp(L)$  and  $\log(T)$  in (1.11) and of  $\text{cay}(L)$  in (1.12) may be facilitated by noting that the matrix functions  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  and  $M_3$  are always multiplied by a vector  $\delta$ . Since matrix-to-vector multiplication is computationally lighter than matrix-to-matrix multiplication, a simple but effective optimization to lighten the computational cost would be to evaluate, for example, the product  $M_1(H)\delta = (a_3H^3 + a_2H^2 + a_1H + a_0I)\delta$  by evaluating the products  $H\delta$ ,  $H(H\delta)$  and  $H(H(H\delta))$ , which are all matrix-to-vector products.

The function  $\exp(L)$  may be evaluated through the following  $\langle\langle$ PolyExp $\rangle\rangle$  MATLAB<sup>®</sup> function (where the evaluation of the sub-matrix  $\exp(H)$  is based on the results presented in [8]):

```

% Evaluation of the function exp(L) corresponding to the case that all the
% eigenvalues of the matrix H are distinct
function T = PolyExp(L)
% Extraction of the submatrices
H = L(1:4,1:4); v = L(1:4,5);
% Calculation of lambda_a (z1) and lambda_b (z2)
z1 = sqrt( trace(H^2) + sqrt( trace(H^2)^2 - 16*det(H) ) )/2;
z2 = sqrt( trace(H^2) - sqrt( trace(H^2)^2 - 16*det(H) ) )/2;
% Calculation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression of M_1(H)
a0 = (sinh(z2)*z1^3 - sinh(z1)*z2^3)/(z1*z2*(z1^2-z2^2));
a1 = z2^2*(cosh(z1)-1)/(z1^2*(z2^2-z1^2)) - z1^2*(cosh(z2)-1)/(z2^2*(z2^2-z1^2));
a2 = (z2*sinh(z1) - z1*sinh(z2))/(z1*z2*(z1^2-z2^2));
a3 = (cosh(z1)-1)/(z1^2*(z1^2-z2^2)) - (cosh(z2)-1)/(z2^2*(z1^2-z2^2));
% Calculation of the polynomial for delta
T1 = H*v; T2 = H*T1; T3 = H*T2;
delta = a3*T3 + a2*T2 + a1*T1 + a0*v;
% Calculation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression of exp(H)
k0 = (z2^2*cosh(z1)-z1^2*cosh(z2))/(z2^2-z1^2);
k1 = (z2^2*sinh(z1)/z1-z1^2*sinh(z2)/z2)/(z2^2-z1^2);
k2 = (-cosh(z1)+cosh(z2))/(z2^2-z1^2);
k3 = (-sinh(z1)/z1+sinh(z2)/z2)/(z2^2-z1^2);
% Calculation of the polynomial for S
H2 = H^2; H3 = H*H2;
S = k3*H3 + k2*H2 + k1*H + k0*eye(4);
% Packing the matrix T
T = [ S      delta;
      zeros(1,4)  1];

```

The function  $\cay(L)$  may be evaluated through the following  $\langle\langle$ PolyCay $\rangle\rangle$  MATLAB<sup>®</sup> function (where the evaluation of the sub-matrix  $\cay(H)$  is based on the results presented in [8]):

```

% Evaluation of the function cay(L) corresponding to the case that all the
% eigenvalues of the matrix H are distinct
function T = PolyCay(L)
% Extraction of the submatrices
H = L(1:4,1:4); v = L(1:4,5);
% Calculation of lambda_a (z1) and lambda_b (z2)
z1 = sqrt( trace(H^2) + sqrt( trace(H^2)^2 - 16*det(H) ) )/2;
z2 = sqrt( trace(H^2) - sqrt( trace(H^2)^2 - 16*det(H) ) )/2;
% Calculation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression of M_3(H)
c0 = (2*z2^2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z1^2-1)) - 2*z1^2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z2^2-1)));
c1 = (2*z2^2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z1^2-1)) - 2*z1^2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z2^2-1)));
c2 = (2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z2^2-1)) - 2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z1^2-1)));
c3 = (2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z2^2-1)) - 2/((z1^2-z2^2)*(z1^2-1)));
% Calculation of the polynomial for delta
T1 = H*v; T2 = H*T1; T3 = H*T2;
delta = c3*T3 + c2*T2 + c1*T1 + c0*v;
% Calculation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression of cay(H)
k0 = -(z1^2 + 1)/(z1^2 - 1) - (2*z1^2)/((z1^2 - 1)*(z2^2 - 1));
k1 = -(2*(z1^2 + z2^2 - 1))/(z1^2 - 1)*(z2^2 - 1);
k2 = 2/((z1^2 - 1)*(z2^2 - 1));
% Calculation of the polynomial for S
H2 = H^2; H3 = H*H2;
S = k2*(H3 + H2) + k1*H + k0*eye(4);
% Packing the matrix T
T = [ S      delta;
      zeros(1,4)  1];

```

The function  $\log(T)$  may be evaluated through the following `<<PolyLog>>` MATLAB<sup>®</sup> function (where the evaluation of the sub-matrix  $\log(S)$  is based on the results presented in [8]):

```

% Evaluation of the function log(T) corresponding to the case that all the
% eigenvalues of the matrix S are distinct of the type (lambda, 1/lambda,
% lambda*, 1/lambda*)
function L = PolyLog(T)
% Extraction of the submatrices
S = T(1:4,1:4); delta = T(1:4,5);
% Calculation of lambda (z)
p2 = ( trace(S)^2 - trace(S^2) )/2; p1 = -trace(S);
zi = ( -p1 + sqrt( p1^2 - 4*p2 + 8) )/2;
z = ( zi + sqrt( zi^2 - 4 ) )/2;
% Calculation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression of M_2(S)
zc=conj(z);
b0 = imag(log(z)*zc*(z^5-1)/((z-1)^2*(z+1)*imag(zc*(z^2+1))));
b1 = imag(log(z)*((1-z^5)*(z^2+1)-z*zc*(z^3-1))/((z-1)^2*(z+1)*imag(zc*(z^2+1))));
b2 = imag(log(zc)*(z*(1-zc^5)+zc*(1-zc^3)*(z^2+1))/((zc-1)^2*(zc+1)*imag(zc*(z^2+1))));
b3 = imag(z*zc*log(z)*(1-z^3)/((z-1)^2*(z+1)*imag(zc*(z^2+1))));
% Calculation of the polynomial for v
T1 = S*delta; T2 = S*T1; T3 = S*T2;
v = b3*T3 + b2*T2 + b1*T1 + b0*delta;
% Calculation of the coefficients of the polynomial expression of log(S)
k0 = 2*real(zc*log(z)*(z^4+1)/((z^2-1)*(z-zc)*(1-z*zc)));
k1 = 2*real(log(zc)*((zc^4+1)*(z^2+1)+z*zc*(zc^2+1))/((zc^2-1)*(z-zc)*(1-z*zc)));
k2 = 2*real(log(z)*((z^3+zc)*(z*zc+1)+(z*(z^2*zc^2+1)))/((z^2-1)*(z-zc)*(1-z*zc)));
k3 = z*zc*2*real(log(zc)*(zc^2+1)/((zc^2-1)*(z-zc)*(1-z*zc)));
% Calculation of the polynomial for H
S2 = S^2; S3 = S*S2;
H = k3*S3 + k2*S2 + k1*S + k0*eye(4);
% Packing the matrix T
L = [ H      v ;
      zeros(1,5) ];

```